GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

"Kamat Towers" 7th Floor, Patto Plaza, Panaji, Goa – 403 001 Tel: 0832 2437208, 2437908 E-mail: spio-gsic.goa@nic.in Website: www.gsic.goa.gov.in

Appeal No. 411/2023/SCIC

Shri. Rauji Babaji Gaonkar, H.No. 250, Parvati Nagar, Sarvan, Bicholim-Goa

.....Appellant

V/s

- The Public Information Officer (PIO),
 Malini P. Sawant,
 Civil Registrar-cum-Sub-Registrar, Sattari
 Valpoi, Sattari-Goa
- 2. First Appellate Authority,
 District Registrar, North,
 4th Floor, 4th Lift, Junta House,
 Panaji-GoaRespondents

Shri. Vishwas Satarkar, State Chief Information Commissioner

Filed on: 09/11/2023 Decided on: 02/02/2024

<u>ORDER</u>

1. The Appellant, Shri. Rauji Babaji Gaonkar, R/o. Ho. No. 250, Parvati Nagar, Sarvan, Bicholim-Goa, vide his application dated 13/06/2023 filed under section 6(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter to be referred as Act), sought following information from the Public Information Officer (PIO), Sub Registrar Office, Valpoi, Sattari, Goa

"Kindly arranged to issue me the following documents of Village /Ward Saleli of Honda Panchayat, Taluka Sattari, Registration under No. 8593 of book No. B-22 and with matrize no. nill and surveyed under survey No.

46/10, 46/11 by virtue of a deed of sale dated 27th June 1985 and registered in the office of the Sub-Registrar, Sattari, Valpoi under registration No. 112 and filed in Book No. 1, Vol. 20, on 19th September, 1991."

- 2. The said application was responded by the PIO on 31/07/2023 in the following manner:
 - " with reference to your application dated 13/06/2023, under Right to Information Act, 2005, it is to inform you that information required by you is not available in this office."
- 3. Being aggrieved and not satisfied with the reply of the PIO, the Appellant filed first appeal before the District Registrar, North, Panaji, Goa on 10/08/2023, being the First Appellate Authority (FAA).
- 4. The FAA, vide its order dated 29/09/2023, allowed the first appeal and directed the PIO to provide the information to the Appellant free of cost.
- 5. Since the PIO failed and neglected to comply with the order of the FAA dated 29/09/2023, the Appellant preferred this second appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the Act.
- 6. Notices were issued to the parties, pursuant to which, the Appellant Shri. Rauji B. Gaonkar appeared on 11/01/2024 alongwith his representative Shri. Vijay Gaonkar. The PIO Ms. Malini P. Sawant appeared and filed her reply on 11/01/2024, the representative of the FAA Shri. Sadanand Parsekar appeared on 11/01/2024 and sought time to file his reply in the matter. The PIO submitted that the Appellant did not furnish

the names of the parties and other particulars of the information sought and so she could not locate the purported information despite her grave efforts. To serve the purpose of justice, the Commission directed the PIO to give the inspection of records to the Appellant and accordingly fixed the joint inspection of the records on 24/01/2024 between 3 p.m. to 5 p.m. in the office of the PIO at Valpoi-Sattari Goa and the matter was posted for compliance on 02/02/2024.

- 7. In the course of hearing on 02/02/2024, the PIO, Smt. Malini Sawant appeared and submitted that as per the direction of the Commission she provided the inspection of records on 24/01/2024, the Appellant identified the document and accordingly, the information has been furnished to the Appellant to his satisfaction. The representative of the Appellant, Shri. Vijay Gaonkar submitted that the Appellant is satisfied with the information provided by the PIO and that he does not wish to proceed further in the matter. He also made an endorsement on the appeal memo that 'dont want to proceed with the matter.'
- 8. In view of the above endorsement of the representative of the Appellant, the matter is disposed off.

<u>ORDER</u>

- Proceeding closed.
- Pronounced in the open court.
- Notify the parties.

Sd/-

(Vishwas R. Satarkar)

State Chief Information Commissioner